



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19849 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.19849 of 2025

Anilkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Veppanapalli Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.77 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Jayachandran

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 303 of BNS in Crime No.77 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.19849 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused had committed theft of 30 wild pigs from the de facto complainant's farm. Hence the case.


3. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that earlier the petitioner was granted with anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Krishnagiri, however, he was unable to execute the sureties within the stipulated time. He further submit the other accused in this case were arrested and released on bail. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A3. He further confirmed that the petitioner was granted with anticipatory bail by the court below. He also submitted that there are five accused in this case and the stolen pigs were recovered.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date



Crl.O.P.No.19849 of 2025

WEB COPY

on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19849 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ham

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23.07.2025

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Veppanapalli Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.19849 of 2025