



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.09.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.19842 of 2025

R.Siva

... Petitioner

-Vs-

The State rep, by
The Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.189 of 2025)


... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the
event of his arrest in respect of Crime No.189 of 2025 on the file of the
Inspector of Police, Kadaladi Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District,
pending investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.B.Viswanathan
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 21(1) of the Mines and
Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.189 of
2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had illegally transported 1/4 unit of river sand in a Bullock Cart. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged offence. He would also submit that without prejudice, the petitioner is prepared to deposit an amount of Rs.3,000/- as non- refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government and he is prepared to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioner had illegally transported 1/4 unit of river sand in a Bullock Cart. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate and perused the entire materials available on record including the First Information Report.




6. In order to curb the illegal activities, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.3,000/- as non refundable deposit to "The Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Thiruvannamalai District"**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

7. Merely, because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

8. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner has prepared to deposit **Rs.3,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government**, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to **deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/- by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to "The Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Thiruvannamalai District"**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such



deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate's Court, Kalasapakkam, Thiruvannamalai District**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of eight weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

08.09.2025

nvi

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2. The Judicial Magistrate's Court, Kalasapakkam, Thiruvannamalai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

5/6



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.19842 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI,J.,

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.19842 of 2025

08.09.2025