



WEB COPY

CRP No. 2941 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

**CRP No. 2941 of 2025 and
CMP.Nos.16578 and 16580 of 2025**

1. V. Prasath
2. Eshwari
3. P.Venkatachalam
4. V.Praveen

Petitioners

Vs

Nandhini

Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to call for the entire records in the complaint dated 31-01-2023 made in DVC.No.3 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Bhavani and quash the same by allowing the CRP.

For Petitioners: M/s.J.Kingsly Solomon

For Respondent: M/s.K.A.Vimalkumar

ORDER

The civil revision petition has been filed seeking to quash the complaint preferred by the respondent under the provisions of Domestic Violence Act.



2. The husband, parents-in-law and brother-in-law are the revision petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in the complaint preferred by the respondent, there is no specific allegations against the petitioners 2 to 4 and hence, the learned Magistrate committed an error in issuing process against the petitioners based on vague omnibus allegations.

4. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435*** held that any person aggrieved by the process issued by the Magistrate can go before the very same Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like existence of a shared household/ domestic relationship etc., If any order is passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the Domestic Violence Act. The relevant portion reads as follows:-

87(vii). *As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc.,*



which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See *V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V.*, (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.

5. In view of the availability of alternative remedy before Magistrate, as held by Full Bench in *Arul Daniel* case, this Court is not inclined to exercise supervisory power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Further, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society* reported in *MANU/SC/1365/2019* held that availability of alternative remedy before regular Courts, is near total bar for exercise of supervisory power by High Court. Hence, I am not inclined to interfere in revision.

6. Accordingly, the Civil Revision petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Magistrate for getting appropriate remedy in terms of order passed in *Arul Daniel* case cited supra.



7. Having regard to the fact the complaint preferred under Section 12 of Domestic Violence Act is predominantly civil in nature, this Court is inclined to dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned Magistrate unless it is absolutely necessary. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs.

17-12-2025

Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No
nr



CRP No. 2941 of 2



WEB COPY

The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Bhavani



WEB COPY

CRP No. 2941 of 2



S.SOUNTHAR J.

nr

CRP No. 2941 of 2025 and
CMP.Nos.16578 and 16580 of
2025

17-12-2025