



W.P.(CrI)No.347 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 22.07.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

W.P.(CrI).No.347 of 2025

Gopal Prasad Patel

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Investigating Officer,
Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Wing,
Pothinayanapally
Jakappan Nagar, Krishnagiri,
Tamil Nadu – 635 001.

2. The Branch Manager,
HDFC Bank Ltd.,
Ground Floor, Ward No.12,
Khasra No.682/1 Main Road,
Saraipalli atal bazar,
Saraipalli 493 558.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
No.132, EVK Periamet,
Vepery, Chennai – 600 007.

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct 1st respondent to defreeze the savings bank account maintained by the petitioner with 2nd respondent bank vide Bank Account No.50100519427900 and to operate the



W.P.(CrI)No.347 of 2025

account peacefully without any intervention and the same insofar as the petitioner herein is concerned.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Anandkumar
For Respondents : Dr.C.E.Pratap,
Govt. Advocate (CrI.Side)
for R1 and R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking directions to the first respondent to de-freeze the savings bank account maintained by the petitioner with 2nd respondent bank vide Bank Account No.50100519427900 and to operate the account peacefully without any intervention.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner received Rs.13,000/- from a stranger, for which, the petitioner's account has been frozen without even any notice. For the minimum of Rs.13,000/-, the entire account has been frozen and the petitioner could not access his account. Hence the present Writ Petition.

3 Learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) for the respondents 1 and 3 would submit that second layer has been found in this case and hence investigation would only reveal the quantum of amount.

4 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the



W.P.(CrI)No.347 of 2025

WEB COPY

learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) for the respondents 1 and 3 and perused the materials available on record.

5 Even though the amount is meager, investigation is in the preliminary stage and the learned Government Advocate also submitted that there is suspicious transaction. Therefore this Court is not inclined to grant the relief sought for by the petitioner.

6 Accordingly the Writ Petition shall stand dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to workout his remedy after filing of charge sheet.

22.07.2025

cgi

Speaking /Non-speaking order

To

1. The Inspector of Police, Cyber Crime Wing,
Pothinayanapally, Jakappan Nagar, Krishnagiri,
Tamil Nadu – 635 001.
2. The Inspector of Police, Central Crime Branch,
Cyber Crime Police Station, No.132, EVK Periamet,
Vepery, Chennai – 600 007.
3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(CrI)No.347 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J.

cgi

W.P.(CrI.)No.347 of 2025

22.07.2025