



WEB COPY

WP No. 27278 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-07-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

WP No. 27278 of 2025

1. Palanisamy
S/o. Duraisamy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
District Collector Office, Namakkal
District

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office Of The Revenue Division,
Tiruchengode Namakkal

3.T.Ilavarasi
Office At Tamil Nadu E-Governmence
Agency,
807, 7th Floor, P.T. Lee Chengalvaraya
Naicker Building,
Anna Salai (Mount Road) Chennai 02

4.Thangam
M Section,
District Collector Office,
Namakkal 637 003



5. Appan Raj
SSS Tahsildar,
Kollu Hills, Namakkal District

6. Soundeswari
H Section Supervisor, District
Collector Office, Namakkal 637 003

7. Gandhimathi
Election Assistant, Tahsildar Office,
Paramathi Velur, Namakkal 638 182

8. RAJA
Village Administrative Officer,
Paramathi Velur
Namakkal

Respondent(s)

PRAYER


Directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to conduct enquiry and to take necessary departmental action against the 3rd to 8th respondents based on petitioner representation dated 23.07.2024

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Arun Pandi

For Respondent(s): Mr.Naveen Kumar
Govt.Advocate For R1 and R2

ORDER

The instant writ petition has been filed for the issue of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to conduct enquiry in pursuance to the petitioner's representation dated 23.07.2024.



2. According to the petitioner, despite having a clear legal title and long-standing possession of the petitioner, the respondents 3 to 8 acted in collusion with certain private individuals for passing order in their favour. In this connection, petitioner sent a representation on 23.07.2024 complaining the alleged misdeed committed by the respondents 4 to 8.

3. At this juncture, the learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents would submit that the entire grievance of the petitioner is against the order passed on 12.04.2022 by the Revenue Divisional Officer, one Ms.T.Illavarasi, who is arrayed as 3rd respondent in this petition. The learned Government Advocate would submit that if at all the petitioner has got grievance against the order dated 12.04.2022, he is at liberty to prefer an appeal, instead he wants to succumbent the legal procedure by giving a complaint against the individuals those who have passed such an order.

4. It is pertinent to mention here that any action taken by the individual in their official capacity is protected. Therefore, as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate, the petitioner cannot be allowed to give a complaint based upon the order issued by respondents 3 to 8. However, the petitioner is given liberty to challenge the order dated 12.04.2024 in the manner known to law.



5. With the above observation, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, are closed.

WEB COPY

28-07-2025


gd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1.The District Collector

District Collector Office, Namakkal
District

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office Of The Revenue Division,
Tiruchengode Namakkal

3.T.Ilavarasi
Office At Tamil Nadu E-government
Agency, 807 , 7th Floor, P.T. Lee
Chengalvaraya Naicker Building, Anna
Salai (mount Road) Chennai 02

4.THANGAM
M Section, District Collector Office,
Namakkal 637 003

5.Appan Raj
Sss Tahsildar, Kolli Hills, Namakkal
District

6.SOUNDESWARI
H Section Supervisor, District
Collector Office, Namakkal 637 003

7.GANDHIMATHI
Election Assistant, Tahsildar Office,
Paramathi Velur, Namakkal 638 182

8.RAJA
Village Administrative Officer,
Paramathi Velur Namakkal



WEB COPY

WP No. 27278 of 2025



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

gd

WP No. 27278 of 2025

28-07-2025