



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.08.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

**Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025**

1.B.Rajesh  
2.R.Devagi

.. Petitioners/A2 & A3

Vs.

The State Rep by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Arni Taluk Police Station,  
Tiruvannamalai District.  
(Crime No.488 of 2025)

.. Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.488 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : M/s.S.Vasavi Sridevi

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

WEB COPY

### **ORDER**

The petitioners were apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 87, 65 of BNS and Section 5(1), 6(1) of POCSO Act in Crime No.488 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant's daughter, who is aged about 17 years, On 23.06.2025, the de facto complainant's daughter went to Mattadhari village for a temple function and did not return home. Hence, a girl missing complaint was filed. Subsequently, it was found that she eloped with the petitioners' son. The petitioners allowed the victim girl to stay at their house along with their son. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners' son and the victim girl are in love with each other, got married, and are living together. The petitioners are innocent, have not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution and have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arni**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

**[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for further interrogation;**

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during  
WEB COPY investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

**08.08.2025**

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Arni.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Arni Taluk Police Station,  
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025

**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

cda

**Crl.O.P.No.19642 of 2025**

**08.08.2025**