



CrI.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CrI.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

Mudassir

.... Petitioner/A3

Vs.

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Nandambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.160 of 2024)

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to
enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.160 of 2024 on
the file of Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.D.Ilayaraja

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested on 31.01.2025 arrest at the hands of the
respondent police in connection with Crime No.577 of 2024, for offences
punishable under Section 8(c), r/w 22(c), 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985 seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

WEB COPY


2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he was found in possession of 52 grams of Methamphetamine for sale. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/A3 submitted that he is a college student and that the alleged recovery took place in a public place without any independent public witnesses. He further submitted that such recovery amounts to a violation of the mandatory provisions. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the contraband recovered in this case is a commercial quantity and that the occurrence took place during night hours. He further submitted that, on seeing the police party, the accused attempted to run away from the scene of occurrence but were secured by the police. He also submitted that A1 and A2 were arrested, and during further investigation, it was revealed that A3, a native of Uttar Pradesh, had conspired in the offence, while the other two petitioners were responsible for securing the contraband. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Admittedly, the case involves a commercial quantity, namely 52 grams of Methamphetamine. It is also found that, based on the information received, the



Crl.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

police went to the place of occurrence, where the accused attempted to flee on seeing the police party. An enquiry was conducted, which subsequently revealed the involvement of Accused No.3, who was remanded in this case pursuant to the execution of a P.T. warrant on 31.01.2025. Though it is contended that there was no recovery at the place of occurrence, the recovery effected and the statements recorded from witnesses reveal the involvement of the other accused as well.

7. Hence, considering the nature of the offence and bad antecedent of petitioner, these Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed.

19.09.2025

nvi

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Alandur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Nandambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.19383 of 2025

19.09.2025