



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.10.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

and

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

Review Applications No.201 and 202 of 2023

1.T.G.Subramaniam
2.U.Bagavathy
3.K.Chandra Sekaran
4.R.Elumalai
5.G.Subramani
6.A.M.Palanisamy
7.R.Deenadayalan
8.P.M.Samopath

... Applicants
in Rev.Appln.201/2023

K.Jeevanandam

... Applicant in
Rev.Appln.202/2023

Vs.

1.The Secretary to Government
Rural Development & Panchayat Raj Department
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009

2.Director
Rural Development and Panchayat Department
Panakal Building
Saidapet



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

Chennai 600 015

WEB COPY

3.The Principal Accountant General
361, Anna Salai
Chennai 600 018

4.The District Collector
Tiruvallur

5.C.Jayaraman
6.A.T.Chandran
7.K.Perumal
8.R.Jaya

... Respondents
in Rev.Appln.201/2023

1.The Secretary to Government
Rural Development & Panchayat Raj Department
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009

2.Director
Rural Development and Panchayat Department
Panakal Building
Saidapet
Chennai 600 015

3.The Principal Accountant General
361, Anna Salai
Chennai 600 018

4.The District Collector
Vellore

... Respondents
in Rev.Appln.202/2023

Common Prayer: Review Applications are filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

CPC r/w under Section 114 of CPC), praying to review the order dated 12.03.2021 made in W.A.Nos.2374 and 2832 of 2019 on the file of this Court.

For Applicants : Mr.G.Murugendran

For Respondents : Mr.G.Ameedius
Government Advocate - R1, 2&4

COMMON ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

In the grounds raised by the Review applicants, a reference has been made to orders passed in similar circumstances, touching upon implementation of G.O.Ms.856, PWD, dated 01.06.1977. This apart, the ground of delay, pointed out in the judgment passed in the Writ Appeals, has also been challenged before us, claiming that in similar cases, the delay has been condoned.

2.It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of entertaining a Review Application is very limited, and the Reviewing Court will be justified in correcting certain errors that are apparent on the face of



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

record and nothing more. The grounds raised by the applicants, with regard to the benefits obtained by similarly placed candidates under G.O.Ms.No.856, were very much available to the applicants when the Writ Appeals were argued, so also the ground of delay. When that being so, having failed to canvass these grounds before the Coordinate Bench, it would now not be permissible for the applicants to raise the same grounds in view of doctrine of constructive *res judicata*.

3.We do not find any reason to interfere with the order passed in W.A.Nos.2374 and 2832 of 2019. Therefore, both the Review Applications stand dismissed.

[M.S.R, J.]

[R.S.V, J.]

27.10.2025

kas

Index: Yes / No

Neutral Citation

Speaking / Non Speaking



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

To.
WEB COPY

1.The Secretary to Government
Rural Development & Panchayat Raj Department
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009

2.Director
Rural Development and Panchayat Department
Panakal Building
Saidapet
Chennai 600 015

3.The Principal Accountant General
361, Anna Salai
Chennai 600 018

4.The District Collector
Tiruvallur

5.The District Collector
Vellore



WEB COPY



Review Applications Nos.201 and 202 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.

and

R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

kas

Review Applications No.201
and 202 of 2023

27.10.2025