



Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

Samuvel

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police
Uddanapalli Police Station
Krishnagiri District
Crime No. 84 of 2025.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No. 84 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Raj Prasanna

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.05.2025, for the offence punishable under Section 311 of BNS (Section 397 of IPC) @ Sections 311, 312, 331(6), 309(6), 310(2) of BNS (Section-397, 328, 458, 394, 395 of IPC in Crime No. 84 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused had trespassed the defacto complainant's house, attacked them with deadly weapons and committed a theft of 8 sovereigns of gold jewels and a cash of Rs.15,000/- Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, he is suffering incarceration from 28.05.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner along with other accused had trespassed the defacto complainant's house and committed a theft of 8 ½ sovereigns of gold jewels and a mobile phone out of which 5 ½ sovereigns of gold, mobile phone and a two wheeler have been recovered. In this case, totally there are 11 accused out of which A1, A2 and A4 were absconding. He also submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and also considering the fact that co-accused has been granted bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Hosur** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;


[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

07.07.2025

nr

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this



CrI.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

order in the Official Website of this Court.

WEB COPY

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.2, Hosur

2. The Inspector of Police
Uddanapalli Police Station
Krishnagiri District

3. The Superintendent,
District Jail, Dharmapuri

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

nr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.19252 of 2025

07.07.2025