



Crl.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

G.Senthil Kumar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.350 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.350 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mohana Krishnan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.06.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No. 350 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



CrI.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with another accused, had received a total sum of Rs. 70,00,000/- from the defacto complainant, his friends, and relatives, under the false pretext of securing Government jobs through TNPSC, Food Safety Officer (FSO), Uniformed Services, Veterinary Department, and also for obtaining house allotments through TNHB. The amounts were paid between 02.07.2022 and 01.10.2024 through both cash and bank transactions. However, the accused neither secured any jobs or house allotments as promised, nor they returned the money. When the defacto complainant demanded repayment, the accused allegedly threatened him with dire consequences. During the course of investigation, incriminating materials were recovered from the premises of the petitioner pursuant to a search. It has also come to light that there are 72 victims who have allegedly been cheated by the accused to the tune of Rs. 1.5 crore. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence, however, he is suffering incarceration from 05.06.2025. He further



Crl.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 05.06.2025

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai** and on further conditions that:



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.15,00,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Lakhs only) each to the credit of Crime No.350 of 2025 and shall produce the proof of payment before the learned Magistrate concerned at the time of executing the sureties. The learned concerned magistrate shall deposit the same in the interest bearing fixed deposit scheme in any one of the nationalised banks.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

17.07.2025

nsI

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

nsI

To

1. The XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police
F-3, Nungambakkam Police Station, Chennai.
3. The Central Prison, Puzhal
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CrI.O.P.No.19304 of 2025

17.07.2025