



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

Muralidharan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by its
The Inspector of Police,
P1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai District.
Crime No.253 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.253/2025 (on the file of the Inspector of Police, P1 Pulianthope Police Station, Chennai District) pending investigation of the case.

For Petitioners : M/s.Dilli Ganesh Krishnamoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(3), 132 and



CrI.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

125 of BNS Act u/s.294(b), 323, 506(ii), 353, 336 of IPC in Crime No.253 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which, the accused persons abused and threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.19011 of 2025


WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai on condition that the petitioner shall execute separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial



CrI.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

WEB COPY

Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;


[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, they shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13**



Crl.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

WEB COPY

SCC 283];

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

09.07.2025

rap

To

1. The X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
P1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rap

Crl.O.P.No.19011 of 2025

09.07.2025