



WEB COPY



W.P.No.24883 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

W.P.No.24883 of 2025

K.Rajapandian

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.M/s.State Express Transport Corporation,
Rep by its Managing Director,
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

2.M/s.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees' Pension Fund Trust,
Rep by its Administrator,
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to settle a sum of Rs.57,648/- towards difference in 6% interest for the belated settlement of terminal benefits to the petitioner herein, by considering the representations of the petitioner dated 16.06.2025 and 26.06.2025.



W.P.No.24883 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Soundar Raj
For Respondents : Mr.Hasan Fizal
Additional Government Pleader
for R1

Mr.C.S.K.Satish
Standing Counsel
for R2

ORDER

The instant writ petition has been filed with a prayer for issuing a Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to settle a sum of Rs.57,648/- towards difference in 6% interest for the belated settlement of terminal benefits to the petitioner herein, by considering the representations of the petitioner dated 16.06.2025 and 26.06.2025.

2. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had retired from the service of the respondents corporation on 31.05.2024, and made a representations dated 16.06.2025 and 26.06.2025 to the respondents seeking interest for the belated payment of the terminal benefits, there was no response for the same.

3. At this juncture, the learned Additional Government Pleader for the



W.P.No.24883 of 2025

WEB COPY

first respondent would submit that the Contempt Petition filed by the petitioner in Cont.P.No.1044 of 2025 in W.P.No.26915 of 2025 was closed, vide order dated 03.06.2025, resulting in the full discharge of the interest. Hence, the petitioner is not entitled for interest. Though he would raise objections, and would state that the mere closure of contempt proceedings has to be construed as full satisfaction, would fairly submit that if there is any representation yet to be considered, they will consider the same within a reasonable time.

4. Heard the learned counsel for both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5. In view of the above submission, without going into the merits of this Writ Petition, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 16.06.2025 and 26.06.2025, and pass orders on its own merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.No.24883 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In the result, this Writ Petition is disposed of with the above observations. No costs.

10.07.2025
(2/2)

jas

Index : Yes/No

Speaking order /Non Speaking Order

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

To

1.M/s.State Express Transport Corporation,
Rep by its Managing Director,
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

2.M/s.Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees' Pension Fund Trust,
Rep by its Administrator,
No.2, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.24883 of 2025

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

jas

W.P.No.24883 of 2025

10.07.2025
(2/2)