



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

Mohandass

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by

The Inspector of Police,

Kallavi Police Station,

Krishnagiri District.

(Crime No.156 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in
the event of his arrest, in Crime No.156 of 2025, pending investigation on the
file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Pradeep

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 121(1) and 109 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.156 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.06.2025, the respondent police received information about a group of individuals causing disturbance near SRV Matriculation School Bus bearing Registration No.TN24 AA 4855, during a village festival. Thereafter, the mob blocked the school bus, burst firecrackers against it, causing the glass windows to shatter and injuring some school children. The defacto complainant/Inspector of Police was assaulted using stone and he sustained injury and he has been treated as outpatient.

Hence, the case.



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police while opposing for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured discharged from the hospital.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made on either side, it is seen that the petitioner along with two of his friends have questioned the respondent police, when they enquired with regard to the petitioner indulging in bursting of crackers and throwing the same. Due to this, 8 school going children were injured. The defacto complainant/Inspector of Police was assaulted using stone and he sustained injury. Thereafter, he has been treated as outpatient. The petitioner has got no antecedent. In view of the same, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthangarai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

WEB COPY

police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for further interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the respondent as and when required;



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

WEB COPY

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

05.08.2025

dna



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

dna

To:

1.TheJudicial Magistrate, Uthangarai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kallavi Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.156 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.19163 of 2025

05.08.2025