



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

Kamalakannan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.15 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.15 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Arulprakash

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296, 115(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023, u/s. 4 of TNPCEI Act, 2003, u/s. 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 and 11(1) and 12



Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

of POCSO Act, 2012 in Crime No.15 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the mother of the victims. The defacto complainant has borrowed a sum of Rs.20,000/- from the petitioner, since the same was not repaid, the petitioner abused and threatened the defacto complainant and later went to the house of the defacto complainant and has made unobjectionable gestures to her daughters. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that there is a case in counter registered against the defacto complainant. Hence, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides that it is a case in counter and taking note of the nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive trial of cases under POCSO Act, Thiruvallur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;


[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



CrI.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

WEB COPY

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10.07.2025

rap

To

1. The Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive trial of cases under POCSO Act, Thiruvallur
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rap

Crl.O.P.No.18969 of 2025

10.07.2025