



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.07.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.24016 of 2025 and
W.M.P.Nos.27011 & 27012 of 2025

Tvl.Texsonics System,
Represented by its Proprietor Mr.Dharmar
13/A Abirami Nagar, Mettupalayam Road,
Behind Cheran Nagar,
Coimbatore
Tamil Nadu 641029

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Velandipalayam Assessment Circle,
Coimbatore.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings passed by the Respondent in the impugned order vide GSTIN:33AFRPD0121M1ZP/2018-19 dated 16.11.2023 along with consequential proceedings under Section 74 of the act issued in FORM GST DRC 07 vide ref no.ZD331123097172P dated 16.11.2023 along with consequential proceeding order of rejection of application for rectification vide ref no.ZD330225297896U dated 28.02.2025 for the tax period 2018-2019 to quash the same.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

For Petitioner : Ms.R.Hemalatha
For Respondent : Ms.K.Vasanthamala
Government Advocate (Taxes)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order of the respondent dated 16.11.2023 along with consequential order passed in the rectification petition dated 28.02.2025 and to quash the same.

2. Ms.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate (Taxes) takes notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the respondent issued show cause notice dated 24.04.2023, for which the petitioner filed its reply on 23.05.2023. But the respondent without considering the same has passed the impugned assessment order dated 16.11.2023, against the petitioner. Immediately, the petitioner filed the rectification petition under Section 161 of the TNGST Act, 2017 before the respondent and the same was rejected vide order dated 28.02.2025.



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

WEB CON

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that immediately after the passing of the assessment order, the petitioner filed the rectification petition under the bonafide impression that the issue can be rectified through the rectification petition, but the same was rejected and in the mean time, the time limit for filing the appeal also expired.

5. He further submitted that though a challenge has been with regard to the impugned assessment order as well as the rejection order passed in the rectification petition, it would suffice if the petitioner is permitted to file an appeal before the appellate authority and the appellate authority may be directed to take the appeal on record.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Taxes) appearing for the respondents would submit that nothing prevented the petitioner to file appeal immediately after the passing of the assessment order. But instead of filing appeal, the petitioner filed rectification petition. Since the assessment order was passed after considering the reply filed by the petitioner, the respondent has passed the order, rejecting the rectification petition. However, she fairly submits that the request made by the petitioner may be considered subject to terms.



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is now ready to deposit 10% of disputed tax over and above the statutory pre deposit of 10% before the authority concerned, in the event of providing an opportunity to them to file as appeal before the appellate authority, for which, the learned Government Advocate(Taxes) has no serious objection.

8. Heard both sides and also perused the materials available on record.

9. In the present case, as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate (Taxes) for the respondent that nothing prevented the petitioner from filing an appeal immediately after passing of the assessment order. However, in the interest of justice and in order to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to substantiate its case, this Court is inclined to pass the following order:-

- (i) The petitioner is permitted to file an appeal before the appellate authority subject to payment of 10%



WEB COPY



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

of disputed tax over and above the 10% statutory deposit as agreed by the petitioner, before the respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) On such payment being made, the respondent is directed to take the appeal on record and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after providing sufficient opportunity to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

10. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed

03.07.2025

arr		
Index	:	Yes/No
Speaking Order	:	Yes/No
Neutral Citation	:	Yes/No



WEB COPY



W.P.No.24016 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

arr

To:

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Velandipalayam Assessment Circle,
Coimbatore.

W.P.No.24016 of 2025 and
W.M.P.Nos.27011 & 27012 of 2025

03.07.2025