



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.07.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19153 of 2025

Vignesh @ Pallu Vicky

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
T-14 Mangadu Police Station,
Avadi District.
(Crime No.339 of 2025)

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Crime No.339 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mohan Raj

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.05.2025 for the offences under Sections 296(b), 125, 311, 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.399 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused, by intimidating the de facto complainant at knife point, robbed a sum of Rs.550/- from him. Hence the case.

3. The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case since he has several previous cases. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and objected for granting bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner is a habitual offender having 45 previous cases of similar nature. He further submitted that the investigation in this case has been completed and the charge sheet has also been filed. He further submitted that there are limited witnesses in this case and the respondent will be able to complete the trial at the earliest.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side, this Court finds that though the petitioner is aged about 29 years, he has 45 previous cases and further, the investigation in this case has been completed.

7. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. However, the learned trial Court is directed to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible.

16.07.2025

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Sriperumbudur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
T-14 Mangadu Police Station,
Avadi District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.19153 of 2025

16.07.2025