



Crl.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

Shankar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
Paradarami Police Station
Paradarami, Vellore District
Crime No.84 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.84 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.Vinodh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



CrI.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

police for the offences punishable under Section 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 140(3), 329(4), 351(2) of BNS in Crime No.84 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and four persons had trespassed the defacto Complainant house, had wordly quarrel and thereby abused the defacto Complainant with filthy language. The petitioner also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner had wordly quarrel with the defacto Complainant which resulted in abusing him in filthy language. He further submits that there were injuries in the head and face and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides, nature of allegation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CrI.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.


[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions



CrI.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

WEB COPY

have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

30.07.2025

shl

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Gudiyatham
2. The Inspector of Police
Paradarami Police Station
Paradarami, Vellore District
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

shl

Crl.O.P.No.18818 of 2025

30.07.2025