



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

S.Akash

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Station House Officer,
Dhanvantri Nagar (D.Nagar)
Police Station, Puducherry District.
(Cr.No.122 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.122 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Prabhakaran
for G.Prabhakaran & Associates

For Respondent : Mr.K.S.Mohandass
Public Prosecutor (Puducherry)



Crl.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 109, 127(2), 190 of BNS in Crime No.122 of 2025, on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused had assaulted two persons who got injured. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits the petitioner has just now completed his Course in Swami Vivekananda Rural Community College managed by Sadguru Sri Ganananda Seva Trust, keezhputhupattu, P.O near Kanagachetty Kumar, Tamilandu. The petitioner is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that in this case the petitioner is arrayed as A7. A1 to A6 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody and A8 and 10 are juveniles. He has confirmed the fact that the victims were discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for granting anticipatory



CrI.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations, the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Puducherry**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;


[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court



CrI.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

WEB COPY

in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

30.07.2025

Vv

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Puducherry
- 2.The The Station House Officer,
Dhanvantri Nagar (D.Nagar)
Police Station, Puducherry District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.18920 of 2025

30.07.2025