



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

Logeshwaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by

The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.238 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in
the event of his arrest, in Crime No.238 of 2025, pending investigation on the
file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Chinnaraj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 178, 179 & 180 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.238 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the petitioner are friends, the petitioner has made a demand to the defacto complainant that he is willing to give Rs.2,00,000/- for exchange of Rs.50,000/- in physical currency notes to the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the defacto complainant came to know that the exchanging currency notes are duplicate. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and a false case has been foisted against the



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025


WEB COPY

petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police while opposing for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the accused has got no previous case.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made on either side, it is seen that the petitioner/A3, along with other accused is said to have induced the




Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

WEB COPY

defacto complainant who is a friend of A1 by promising that they are willing to give Rs.2,00,000/- for exchange of Rs.50,000/- in physical currency notes to the defacto complainant. On knowing that the exchanging currency notes are duplicate, there was a wordy quarrel and later, the defacto complainant informed the respondent police. Further, the petitioner has got no previous case pending against him. In view of the same, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

WEB COPY

concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;


[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for further interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the respondent as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

WEB COPY

being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

05.08.2025

dna



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

dna

To:

1.TheJudicial Magistrate, Sulur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.238 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.19285 of 2025

05.08.2025