



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.18757 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.18757 of 2025

Saran

... Petitioners

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
E1-Mylapore Police Station
Chennai District
(Crime No 280 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 280 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 311, 351(2) of BNS, Act in Crime No. 280 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused had waylaid the de facto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.5000/- from him at knife point and threatened him with dire consequences and also assaulted him. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed on him. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner along with other accused had waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.5000/- from him at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. He also submitted that there are eleven previous cases pending against the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and also considering the fact that eleven previous cases were pending against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

14.07.2025

NR

To

1. The XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet.

2. The Inspector of Police,
E1-Mylapore Police Station
Chennai District

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.18757 of 2025



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

NR

Crl.O.P.No.18757 of 2025

14.07.2025