



WEB COPY

HCP No.1171 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE J. NISHA BANU
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR**

HCP No. 1171 of 2025

1. DEEPAN

S/o. Rajkumar, No.2/33,
Thulukanathamman Kovil Street,
Ambethkar Nagar, Arakkonam and
Taluk, Ranipet District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home Prohibition and Excise Dept,
Secretariat, chennai-600009.

2.District Collector and District
Magistrate of Ranipet district,
Ranipet-1.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Ranipet District, Ranipet.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Vellore.



5.The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.

WEB COPY

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus or any other writ or order in the nature of Writ call for the records in Connection with the order of Detention passed by the Second respondent 09.06.2025 in B3/D.O.No.43/2025 against the petitioner's father, Rajkumar, Male aged 65 years S/o. Venugopal who is confined at Central Prison, Vellore and Set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Balaji

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

J.Nisha Banu, J.
and
S.Sounthar,J.

The petitioner herein is the son of the detenu viz., Rajkumar, S/o.Venugopal, aged about 65 years, confined at Central Prison, Vellore,has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 09.05.2025 in B3/D.O.No.43/2025, branding his father as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of



Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].


2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several points have been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is stated that the detention order is liable to be quashed on the ground that the copy of the list of property sent to Magistrate, furnished to the detenu by the sponsoring authority, are illegible. Hence, it is submitted that the detenu was deprived of making effective representation.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor would fairly state that the copy of the list of property furnished to the detenu are illegible.

5. On a perusal of the Booklet, it is seen that at Volume-I Page-72-A of the booklet, the copy of the list of property furnished to the detenu, are illegible. This furnishing of illegible copies of the vital document would deprive the detenu of making effective representation to the authorities against the order of detention.

6. In this context, it is useful to refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble



Supreme Court in '*Powanammal Vs. State of Tamil Nadu*' reported in '(1999)

2 SCC 413'. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, after discussing the safeguards embodied in Article 22[5] of the Constitution, observed that the detenu should be afforded an opportunity of making representation effectively against the Detention Order and that, the failure to supply every material in the language which can be understood by the detenu, is imperative. In the said context, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in Paragraphs 9 and 16 {as in SCC journal} as follows:

“9.However, this Court has maintained a distinction between a document which has been relied upon by the detaining authority in the grounds of detention and a document which finds a mere reference in the grounds of detention. Whereas the non-supply of a copy of the document relied upon in the grounds of detention has been held to be fatal to continued detention, the detenu need not show that any prejudice is caused to him. This is because the non-supply of such a document would amount to denial of the right of being communicated the grounds and of being afforded the opportunity of making an effective representation against the order. But it would not be so



WEB COPY



where the document merely finds a reference in the order of detention or among the grounds thereof. In such a case, the detenu's complaint of non-supply of document has to be supported by prejudice caused to him in making an effective representation. What applies to a document would equally apply to furnishing a translated copy of the document in the language known to and understood by the detenu, should the document be in a different language.

..... **16.***For the above reasons, in our view, the non-supply of the Tamil version of the English document, on the facts and in the circumstances, renders her continued detention illegal. We, therefore, direct that the detenue be set free forthwith unless she is required to be detained in any other case. The appeal is accordingly allowed.”*

7. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

8. Hence, for the aforesaid reasons, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 09.05.2025 in B3/D.O.No.43/2025 is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Rajkumar,



S/o.Venugopal, aged about 65 years, confined at Central Prison, Vellore, is

directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with

any other case.

(J.NISHA BANU J.)(S.SOUNTHAR J.)

14-10-2025

vsi

To

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home Prohibition and Excise Dept,
Secretariat, chennai-600009.

2.District Collector and District
Magistrate of Ranipet district,
Ranipet-1.

3.The Suuperintendent of Police,
Ranipet District, Ranipet.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Vellore.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet district.

5. The Joint Secretary, Law & Order Dept., Secretariat,Chennai-9

6. The Public Prosecutor, High Court,Chennai



WEB COPY

HCP No.1171 of 2025



J.NISHA BANU J.
AND
S.SOUNTHAR J.
vsi

HCP No. 1171 of 2025

14-10-2025