



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 317 of 2025

1. G.C.Ramasamy
S/o. Chinnappa, D.No.3/106, Govinda
Agraharam Village, Begapali Post,
Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District, Krishnagiri.

2.The Inspector of Police
SIPCOT Police Station, Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

3.The Thasildar
Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

4.Munusamy
S/o.(Late) Abbaiah, Govinda
Agraharam Village, Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

5.Raja



S/o. Munusamy, Govinda Agraharam
Village and Post, Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Writ Petition filed under Art. 226 of the Constitution of India praying to direct the 2nd respondent to provide police protection to the petitioner and his men for fencing the property situated at Govinda Agraharam Village, Begampalli Panchayat union Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District, bearing Survey No.45/8 on the basis of the complaint representation given by the petitioner to the respondents 1 to 3 dated 19.04.2025 and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.K.M.D. Muhilan, APP
For R1 to R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed seeking to direct the 2nd respondent to provide police protection to the petitioner and his men for fencing the property situated at Govinda Agraharam Village, Begampalli Panchayat union Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District, bearing Survey No.45/8 on the basis of the complaint representation given by the petitioner to the respondents 1 to 3 dated 19.04.2025.



2. Heard the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police.

3. At the outset, this Court is of the view, such a protection cannot be granted particularly, when there is rival claim by the other side. The practice of granting blanket police protection particularly, in respect of immovable property without establishing title leads to serious consequences. In this aspect, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***"PR.Muralidharan and others Vs.Swami Dharmananda Theertha Padar"*** reported in **2006 4 SCC** as held as follows:

"...19.A Writ for "Police Protection" so-called, has only a limited scope, as when the Court is approached for protection of rights declared by a decree or by an order passed by a civil Court. It cannot be extended to cases where rights have not been determined either finally by the civil Court or, at least at an interlocutory stage in an unambiguous manner, and then too in furtherance of the decree or order."

It is seen that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner and private respondents and it is seen from the petition that already a suit for partition has also been filed. In such view of the matter, there shall not be any blanket Order for police protection.



WEB COPY

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

msr

10-09-2025

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District, Krishnagiri.

2. The Inspector of Police
SIPCOT Police Station, Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

3. The Thasildar
Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 317 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

msr

WP CrI. No. 317 of 2025

10-09-2025