



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

- 1.Rajesh
- 2.Vengatesh @ Vengatesan
- 3.Umamageshwaran
- 4.Prabhakaran
- 5.Prakash
- 6.Vinoth @ Vinothkumar
- 7.Viji @ Vijay
- 8.Prathap

... Petitioners

-Vs-

State rep. by:
The Inspector of Police,
Gengavalli Police Station,
Salem.
(Crime No.140 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.140 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, pending investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Siranjeevi

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable u/s 191(3), 296(b), 115(1), 118(2), 109(2) and 351(2) of BNS r/w Section 3(1) of PPDL Act, 1992, in Crime No.140 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners have abused the husband of the defacto complainant with filthy language and attacked him with knife and wooden log, due to which, he sustained grievous injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and due to previous enmity, they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required. Further, he submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions as imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CrI.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioners are having previous cases against them. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the records.

6. Since the petitioners have committed very serious offence and are having previous cases, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is very much required. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

14.07.2025

sp

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police, Gengavalli Police Station, Salem.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

sp

Crl.O.P.No.18610 of 2025

14.07.2025