



CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.07.2025

Coram:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

Pachiyappan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State Rep. by Inspector of Police

Eriyur Police Station

Dharmapuri District

2. Valli

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., to issue direction for re-investigation of FIR bearing Crime No.44 of 2025 on the file of Eriyur Police Station, Dharmapuri District, under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 based on the representations dated 06.04.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Karthik Eswaran

For First Respondent : Dr.C.E.Pratap
Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side) for R1




CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner for re-investigation of the case in Crime No.44 of 2025 on the file of Eriyur Police Station, Dharmapuri District, based on the representation dated 06.04.2025.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on 18.03.2025, the petitioner's younger daughter namely Madhumitha met with an accident and died. After performing the final rights, they came to know that the FIR has been registered based on the complaint given by the second respondent herein in which, it is stated that her daughter-in-law/the petitioner's daughter met with an accident while travelling in a two wheeler along with her sons. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner's daughter is an unmarried girl. Even in the death certificate, the name of one Vignesh/the second respondent's son has been mentioned as husband of the petitioner's daughter instead of



CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

mentioning the petitioner's name as father. Hence, the petitioner made a

representation to the Superintendent of Police for which, the petitioner was

asked to get a direction from the Court. Hence, the present petition is filed.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) and also perused the materials available on record.

4. Since no adverse order is being passed against the second respondent, notice to the second respondent is dispensed with.

5. It is seen that the grievance of the petitioners is that instead of mentioning the petitioner and his wife name as parents of the deceased Madhumitha, one Vignesh name has been mentioned as husband of deceased Madhumitha in the death certificate of the deceased Madumitha. Further the deceased was unmarried at the time of her death. Therefore to rectify the same,



CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

the petitioner now approached this Court, which cannot be done by this Court.

WEB COPY

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition.

6. However, the petitioner is at liberty to raise all the facts before the respondent police while recording statement under Section 180 of BNSS.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

08.07.2025

Index : Yes / No

Speaking Order : Yes / No

Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No

ksa-2

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Eriyur Police Station, Dharmapuri District

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras, Chennai.

4/5



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN,J.

Ksa-2

CrI.O.P.No.18817 of 2025

08.07.2025