



Crl.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

E.Anu @ Anuraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
T-1, Tambaram Police Station,
Tambaram, Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.309 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.309 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.D.Sugumar

For Respondent : Mr.V.Meganathan
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS read with Section 3 of TN Public Property (Prevention of Damage & Loss) Act, 1992 in Crime No.309 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police,



Crl.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner/A4 is the brother of A1 and in this case, A1 to A4 were arrested and let out on bail. The allegation as per the prosecution is that the petitioner had driven the car, in which, A1 had travelled. A1 and others had gone to the dumping yard of Tambaram Corporation and made some objections for dumping of garbage, as it adversely affects the neighbouring residence. The Supervisor was questioned and there was exchange of blows and there was also a push and pull between them. Petitioner is only a driver and he has nothing to do with the assault on the defacto complainant.

3. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

4. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.18037 of 2025


WEB COPY

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tambaram** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial



Crl.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

WEB COPY

Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;


[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



CrI.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

WEB COPY

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

30.06.2025

sma

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Tambaram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
T-1, Tambaram Police Station,
Tambaram, Chengalpattu District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Sma

CrI.O.P.No.18037 of 2025

30.06.2025