



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.18015 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.18015 of 2025

Manikandan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by
The Inspector of Police,
B-3, Kadambathur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.73 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.73 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Manimaran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under 123 of BNS (U/s 328 of IPC) and



Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A), 25 of NDPS Act r/w 25(1)(a) of Arms Act in Crime No.73 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he was found in possession of 10 grams of ganja, three knives and banned drugs ie., 26 tablets of Lanydol containing 100mg . Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed that anticipatory bail may be granted to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that, the petitioner is having three previous cases, out of which, one is disposed of and one is not yet taken on file. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.18015 of 2025

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record .

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, huge quantity of banned contraband seized, three previous cases pending against the petitioner and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in this case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

23.09.2025

Vv

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
B-3, Kadambathur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.18015 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.18015 of 2025

23.09.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.18015 of 2023