



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18050 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 18050 of 2025

1. P Kandasamy
2. K Natarajan
3. N Gowsalya

Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kamanaickenpalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.(Crime No. 176/2025)

Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in respect of crime no.176 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner: Mr.Arjun Sivasamy

For Respondent: M/s. R. Vinoth Raja Ga (crl.
Side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998 in Crime No.176 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, due to the dispute regarding fixing of boundary stones in the agricultural land, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him, due to which, defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent person and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that there is a counter case registered against the defacto complainant. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Palladam** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who



intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned,

failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on

further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;



WEB COPY



[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

27-06-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18050 of 2025





WEB COPY

To

1.State of Tamil nadu Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kamanaickenpalayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.(Crime No. 176/2025)

2.The Judicial Magistrate Palladam

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18050 of 2025



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 18050 of
2025**

27-06-2025