



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.18063 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.18063 of 2025

Desappan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by its  
Inspector of Police,  
N2 Kasimedu Police Station  
Chennai.  
(Cr.No.262 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.262 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ezhil Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence under Section 8(c) r/w 20 (b) (ii) (B) & 29 (1) of NDPS Act, 1985 in Crime No.262 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY


CrI.O.P.No.18063 of 2023



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused was found in illegal possession of 1.300 gms of ganja for the purpose of selling to the public. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession statement of the arrested accused and from him, contraband was recovered. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and reported that totally there are four accused in this case and that the petitioner is ranked as A4. He further submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender and he has been participated in the illegal transportation of ganja and he is also having eight previous cases. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.18063 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), and also considering the fact that the petitioner is having eight previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

**18.09.2025**

ssi

To

1.The XV Metropolitan Magistrate,  
George Town, Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
N2 Kasimedu Police Station  
Chennai.

3.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18063 of 2025

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

ssi

Crl.O.P.No.18063 of 2025

18.09.2025