



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

Crl.RC.No.1150 of 2025

S.M.Narayanan

..... Petitioner

Vs

1.Minor Sai Ambika

Rep. by her mother, Aruna, the 2nd respondent

2.Aruna

natural guardian of minor children, the first respondent Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, 2023, praying to set aside the order passed in MP.No.1673 of 2023 in MC.No.441 of 2023 by the learned II Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai dated 30.12.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Muthu Kumar

Crl.RC.No.1152 of 2025

S.M.Narayanan

..... Petitioner

Vs

1.Minor Monish Surya

Rep. by his mother, Aruna, the 2nd respondent

2.Aruna



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

natural guardian of minor children, the first respondent Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, 2023, praying to set aside the order passed in MP.No.656 of 2024 in MC.No.442 of 2023 by the learned II Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai dated 30.12.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Muthu Kumar

COMMON ORDER

These criminal revision cases have been preferred against the orders passed in MP.Nos.1673 of 2023 & 656 of 2024 in MC.Nos.441 &442 of 2023 by the learned II Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai dated 30.12.2024, thereby ordering interim maintenance of Rs.15,000/- payable by the petitioner in favour of each of the respondents.

2. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused, all the materials placed before this Court.

3. The first respondent in both the criminal revision cases are the children born to the petitioner. The petitioner married the second respondent and gave birth to the first respondent in both the criminal revision cases. Thereafter, due to misunderstanding between them, they got separated and are



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

living separately. As such, the mother of the first respondents could not maintain herself and her children. Therefore, she filed maintenance cases against the petitioner. After considering the facts and circumstances, the trial court ordered interim maintenance of Rs.15,000/- to be payable by the petitioner till the disposal of the maintenance cases in favour of the first respondents.

4. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is searching for job in Singapore and though he was employed, now he is out of employment and he has no means to pay such interim maintenance. However, this Court is of the view that no prudent person would stay in Singapore searching for a job as it is very costly to live there than other countries. Therefore, the petitioner can very well pay Rs.15,000/- p.m. to the first respondents as interim maintenance. In fact, the petitioner did not pay any arrears of maintenance so far. As such, this Court finds no infirmity in the impugned orders.

5. In view of the above discussion, both the criminal revision cases are dismissed. However, the trial court is directed to complete the trial and dispose of the maintenance cases within a period of three months from the date



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

of receipt of this order.

WEB COPY

22.07.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok

To

Page 4 of 6



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

The learned II Additional Principal Family Court at Chennai

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

Crl.R.C.Nos.1150 & 1152 of 2025

22.07.2025