



WEB COPY



Cont.P. NO. 2228 of 2024

&

Rev. A. No. 47 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH

Contempt Petition No. 2228 of 2024

&

Review Application No. 47 of 2025

&

W.M.P. No. 3815 of 2025

Contempt Petition No. 2228 of 2024

Dr. S. Srigayathri

..Petitioner

Vs.

1. Dr.J. Sangumani
Director of Medical Education,
Kilpauk, Chennai – 10.

2. Dr.P. Balaji,
The Dean,
Stanley Medical College,
Chennai – 600001.

..Respondents

Prayer: Petition under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order dated 26.02.2024 passed in W.P. No. 4595 of 2024

For Petitioner :: Ms.K. Dhivyashree

For Respondents :: Mr.E. Sundaram,
Govt. Advocate



Cont.P. NO. 2228 of 2024
&
Rev. A. No. 47 of 2025

Review Application No. 47 of 2025

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Health and Family Welfare Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
2. Directorate of Medical Education,
rep. by the Director of Medical Education,
Kilpauk, Chennai – 10.
3. Directorate of Medical and Rural Health
Services,
rep. by the Director of Medical and
Rural Health Services,
No. 359, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 6.
4. Directorate of Public Health and Preventive
Medicine, rep. by its
Director of Public Health and Preventive
Medicine,
No.359, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 6.
5. Government Stanley Medical College,
rep. by its Dean, Chennai – 600 001. ..Petitioners

Vs.

Dr. S. Srigayathri

..Respondent

Prayer: Review application to review the order dated 26.02.2024 passed
in W.P. No. 4595 of 2024.

For Petitioners :: Mr.E. Sundaram
Govt. Advocate
For Respondent :: Ms.K. Dhivyashree for
Mr.M. Vijayaraghavan

COMMON ORDER



Cont.P. NO. 2228 of 2024
&
Rev. A. No. 47 of 2025

WEB COPY

In the light of the order dated 29.01.2025 passed in Contempt Petition No. 3005 of 2024 wherein similar factual position arose, this contempt petition is closed.

2. In the interest of completion, the order dated 29.01.2025 passed in Contempt Petition No. 3005 of 2024 is extracted below:

'Mr.E.Sundaram, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. *The directions under order dated 12.01.2024 as against which the contempt has been alleged are to the effect that the covid duty performed by petitioner must be taken into account to construe the bond period.*

3. *The State had filed writ appeals in other similar matters and, vide order dated 20.11.2024, the Division Bench of this Court has held that covid duty cannot be taken into account to reckon the bond period.*

4. *Ms.Gayathri, learned counsel for petitioner states that a Review Petition has been filed as against the aforesaid order.*

5. *While the petitioner may well pursue the Review, this Court finds no reason to keep this contempt petition pending.*

6. *Recording the aforesaid narration of events, this contempt petition is closed. No costs. Connected Sub Application is closed.*

2. Review Application No. 47 of 2025 stands allowed. Connected W.M.P. and contempt petition are closed.

21.03.2025

Index: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
nv



WEB COPY



Cont.P. NO. 2228 of 2024
&
Rev. A. No. 47 of 2025

DR. ANITA SUMANTH,J.

nv

Contempt Petition No. 2228 of 2024
&
Review Application No. 47 of 2025

21.03.2025