



Crl.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

Crl.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

Chittal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State represented by,
The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Central Zone,
Coimbatore District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
C-3, Sai Baba Colony Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

3. Nisha Sri.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS 2023, to issue a direction directing the second respondent herein not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry, on the basis of the representation dated 15.6.2025 made to the first respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Magesh Kumar

For R1 & R2 : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

WEB COPY


ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to issue a direction, directing the second respondent herein not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry, on the basis of the representation dated 15.6.2025 made to the first respondent.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent-Police and perused the materials available on record.

3. Since there is no adverse order is being passed as against the third respondent, notice to the third respondent is dispensed with.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the de-facto complainant/third respondent used to borrow money from the petitioner. In order to repay the borrowed amount, the defacto complainant agreed to sell her property and executed a sale agreement. With regard to the




Crl.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

WEB COPY

dispute between them, the petitioner has filed civil suit in O.S.No.477 of 2025 on the file of the Principal District Court, Tiruppur and the same is pending. Whereas, the defacto complainant/third respondent herein made a false complaint as against the petitioner before the first respondent-Police. The petitioner has also responded to the summons issued by the second respondent/Police, still the respondent-Police is harassing the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner has filed this criminal original petition.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent-Police submitted that on enquiry, it is found that the defacto complainant borrowed a sum of Rs.5 Lakhs only. The petitioner obtained signatures from the third respondent in the blank papers and created a document and also insisted for an exorbitant interest. The petitioner has also appeared before the respondent-Police for enquiry and he made a promise to produce the document. Thereafter, he did not turn up.

6. On a perusal of the records, it is seen that the dispute between the parties is civil in nature. Though the petitioner appeared before the respondent-Police and sought time to produce the relevant



Crl.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

WEB COPY

document, subsequently, he has not produced the same before the respondent-Police. Hence, the petitioner is directed to produce the relevant document before the respondent-Police. On enquiry, the respondent-Police is directed to proceed with the complaint in accordance with law.

7. With the abovesaid direction, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

30.06.2025

mfa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking Order: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CrI.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Central Zone,
Coimbatore District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
C-3, Sai Baba Colony Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J

mfa

CrI.O.P.No.18189 of 2025

30.06.2025