



Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

Anbalagan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
PEW,Attur police station
Salem District
Crime No. 87 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in connection with Crime No. 87 of 2025 on the file of the PEW,Attur police station, Salem District

For Petitioner : Mr. T.Ganesan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(c) and 4(1-A)(ii) of TN Prohibition Act in Crime No.87 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.06.2025, when the respondent police were on prohibition raid, they found that petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 30 litres of ID arrack. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police, submitted that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 30 litres of ID arrack. He submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. He also submitted that investigation is at crucial stage, if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail at this stage, there will be possibility of tampering the witnesses and hampering the investigation. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, taking note of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and also taking into account the huge quantity of contraband involved in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

03.07.2025

nr

To

1. The Inspector of Police
PEW, Attur police station
Salem District

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

nr

Crl.O.P.No.17620 of 2025

03.07.2025