



Crl.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

1.Pradeep

2. Senthil Kumar @ Sycho Senthil ... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
N2- Kasimedu Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.235 of 2025) ... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail pending
investigation in Crime No.235 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Ezhil Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.L.Baskaran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested on 25.05.2025 and remanded to
judicial custody on 25.05.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections
296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 324(4), 351(3) of BNS 2023, Section 4 of TN
Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 & Section 3 of Tamil Nadu



CrI.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

WEB COPY

Public Property (Prevention of Damage & Loss) Act, 1992 in Crime No.235 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners under the influence of alcohol had caused damages to the defacto complainant's KTM bike and assaulted him using knife, as a result of which, he sustained grievous injuries. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons, they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners without prejudice to their defence are ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/-each to the credit of Crime Number.235 of 2025 and he has no objection for the defacto complainant to withdraw the same. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case.



Crl.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **XVI Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall **deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each to the credit of **Crime No.235 of 2025** before the *learned XVI Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai and on such deposit, defacto complainant is*



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

permitted to withdraw the same on proper acknowledgment.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**



CrI.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh

WEB COPY

FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18.06.2025

sma

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.The XVI Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
N2- Kasimedu Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

sma

Crl.O.P.No.17599 of 2025

18.06.2025