



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22486 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.06.2025

**CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**W.P.No.22486 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.25287, 25288 & 25289 of 2025**

N.Saravanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing,
Head office, 4th Floor, CMDA Tower - 2,
Egmore,
Chennai - 600 008.

2. The District Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing
Corporation Limited,
Ambattur,
Chennai - 600 058.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, seeking for an issuance of writ of certiorarified Mandamus, in N.K.A.No.A1/460/2024 dated 30.11.2024 and S.M. N.K.A.No.A1/522/2024 dated 22.01.2025, N.K.A.No.A1/522/2024 dated 07.04.2025, N.K.A.No.A1/477/2022 dated 06.02.2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent and quash the same.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22486 of 2

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kumaraswamy
for Mr.K.Bharathi
For Respondents : Mr.K.Sathish Kumar
Standing Counsel for TASMACH

ORDER

The writ petition is filed challenging the proceedings dated 30.11.2024, 22.01.2025, 07.04.2025 and 06.02.2025 on the file of the second respondent.

2. The case of the petitioner is that, he joined the respondent Management on 11.01.2004, as a salesman. On 30.11.2024, he was suspended for selling liquor by fixing additional charges of Rs.20/-. Thereafter, the respondent issued charge sheet on 22.01.2025 and 07.04.2025 and show cause notice on 06.02.2025. Hence the writ petition.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was wrongly suspended. Though, the petitioner has challenged the suspension order dated 30.11.2024, the learned Counsel would fairly submit that if the respondents concluded the enquiry proceedings within a reasonable time, he may not have any serious objection. His further submission is that so far the petitioner was not paid subsistence allowance.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.22486 of 2



4. At this juncture, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent TASMAC would submit that they have already issued second show cause notice and they would complete the enquiry proceedings within a reasonable time. He would further submit that if any representation is received from the petitioner for subsistence allowance, they would consider the same within a reasonable time.

5. In view of the above submission, without going into the merits of the matter, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to conclude the enquiry proceedings and pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In the meanwhile, for claiming subsistence allowance, the petitioner is directed to give representation to the respondents within a period of one week from today and on such receipt, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass orders on it's own merits and in accordance with law within a period of two weeks thereafter.



W.P.No.22486 of 2

In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

25.06.2025

veda

Index:Yes

Speaking order

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

1. The Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing,
Head office, 4th Floor, CMDA Tower - 2,
Egmore,
Chennai - 600 008.
2. The District Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing
Corporation Limited,
Ambattur,
Chennai - 600 058.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22486 of 2

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

veda

W.P.No.22486 of 2025

25.06.2025