



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No17456 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.17456 of 2025

Divya Bharathi

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Arcot town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Cr.No.277 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event
of her arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.277 of 2025 on the file of
the Inspector of Police, Arcot town Police Station, Ranipet District) or on
our appearance before the concerned court.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Thirumoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.V.Kirubanandha

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the alleged offence under Sections 61(2), 316(5), 318(4), 49 of
BNS Act 2023 (120B, 409, 420, 109 IPC) in crime No.277 of 2025, seeks
anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner/A2 is that she was working in a finance company in various capacities and, along with other staff, colluded by forging documents, misusing the gold jewels pledged in other accounts, and by using spurious/fictitious gold, thereby misappropriating a sum of Rs.37.37lakhs. Apprehending arrest, the petitioner has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that there was no misappropriation or falsification of records. It was further submitted that the petitioner is ready to establish the same by producing appropriate documents. However, no such documents have been produced before this Court. It was also contended that the petitioner had joined the service only recently and was not aware of the alleged transactions. Hence, the learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned counsel for the Intervenor submitted that a huge amount has been swindled by the staff members of the finance company and that the investigation is in progress. It was further submitted that the preliminary report reveals that a sum of **Rs.37,30,900/-** has been misappropriated and therefore opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that totally five accused are involved in this case. A1 has been arrested and is presently in judicial custody. So far, no amount has been recovered and the investigation is at a preliminary stage. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the manner in which the occurrence has taken place and the fact that no amount has been recovered so far, this Court is of the view that if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, there is a likelihood of tampering with the investigation. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

22.10.2025

Vv

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Arcot town Police Station,
Ranipet District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No17456 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.17456 of 2025

22.10.2025