



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

WEB COPY

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

This matter came up for hearing today under the caption 'For Being Mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2.It is submitted that in the order, dated 03.07.2025 the satisfaction Court has been wrongly mentioned as “Judicial Magistrate No.III, (Mahila Court), Vellore” instead of “Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore”.

3.Registry is directed to issue the fresh order copy after incorporating the necessary corrections. The petitioner to comply with the order within fifteen days from the date of issuance of the above corrected order.

16.07.2025

rsi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rsi

Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

16.07.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

1.Sathish

2.Venkatesan

3.Chandru

4.Ashok Kumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.
Crime No.168 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.168 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.Thirumoorthy D



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal

Side)
WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 296 (b), 115 (2) and 118 (1) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.168 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioners and the defacto-complainant are neighbors. The petitioners had knocked the doors of the defacto-complainant's sister's house during night hours and the same was questioned by the defacto-complainant. The petitioners abused them in filthy language and threatened with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they had been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for



grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.


WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the fact that the petitioners and the defacto-complainant are neighbors and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Vellore**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute



a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with**

two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;


[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.06.2025

ep



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Vellore.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ep

Crl.O.P.No.17455 of 2025

24.06.2025