



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22097 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.06.2025

**CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

W.P.No.22097 of 2025

S.Sankar Ganesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The District Manager / Deputy Collector,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
(TASMAC),
Namakkal District.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, seeking for an issuance of writ of certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned suspension order passed by the respondent by the proceedings in Na.Ka.No.121/B1/CV/2025 dated 25.04.2025 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service in the respondent corporation as the same position.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Vimalraj

For Respondents : Mr.K.Balakrishnan
Standing Counsel for TASMAC



WEB COPY

W.P.No.22097 of 2




ORDER

The writ petition has been filed challenging the suspension order dated 25.04.2025 and consequently direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner in service in the respondent corporation.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was suspended *vide* proceedings dated 25.04.2025, on the false charge of MRP violation. He would further submit that the petitioner was on leave on the date of alleged delinquency. Hence prays to interfere with the order of suspension.

3. Mr.K.Balakrishnan, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice for the respondent TASMACH would submit that only upon the delinquency of the petitioner, the contemplation of enquiry was suspended. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere with the same.

4. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner seeks liberty to give representation to the respondent.



W.P.No.22097 of 2

WEB COPY

5. In such view of the matter, though this Court do not find any merits or public interest involved to interfere with the suspension dated 25.04.2025, would like to grant liberty to the petitioner to give representation to the respondent.

6. In the result, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner is given liberty to submit a representation to the respondent within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on such receipt, the respondent is directed to consider the same and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks thereafter. No costs.

20.06.2025

veda

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

The District Manager / Deputy Collector,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
(TASMAC),
Namakkal District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22097 of 2

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

veda

W.P.No.22097 of 2025

20.06.2025