



WEB COPY



W.P No.22245 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C. KUMARAPPAN

Writ Petition No.22245 of 2025

M.M.Kamaraj

... Petitioner

..Vs..


1.The Commissioner,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj,
Panagal Maligai, Saidapet,
Chennai-600 015.

2.The Inspector of Panchayats/The District Collector,
Chengapattu Collectorate,
Chengalpattu District.

3.The Assistant Director,
Panchayat Raj,
Chengalpattu, Chengalpattu District.

4.The Block Development Officer (V.P),
Mdurantakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.

5.Gopala Kannan,
The Block Development Officer (V.P),
Maduranthakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.



W.P No.22245 of 2025

6.Paranthaman
The Village Secretary (In-Charge),
Vaiyavur Village Panchayat,
Madurantakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Mandamus, to direct the first respondent to direct the second respondent to pass an order based on in view of representation dated 22.04.2025 to take necessary Departmental action against the respondents four to six herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Naresh Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Sasikumar
Government Advocate for RR1 to 4

ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed with a prayer to direct the 1st respondent to direct the 2nd respondent to pass an order based on the representation dated 22.04.2025 to take necessary Departmental action against the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has given a complaint against the 4th and 6th respondents and such complaint is



W.P No.22245 of 2025

yet to be considered by the respondents 1 and 2.

WEB COPY

3. At this juncture, the learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents would fairly submit that they would consider the petitioner's representation accordance to its own merits and in accordance with law.

4. I have given my anxious consideration on either side submission.

5. In such view of the fair submission made by the learned Government Advocate, without going to the merits of the writ petition, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 22.04.2025 and pass order after giving due notice to the 5th and 6th respondents in accordance with its own merits and in accordance with law within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P No.22245 of 2025

6. With the above observation, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

23.06.2024

ssi

Index : Yes

Speaking Order : Yes /No

Neutral Citation Case: Yes/No

To:

1.The Commissioner,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj,
Panagal Maligai, Saidapet,
Chennai-600 015.


2.The Inspector of Panchayats/The District Collector,
Chengapattu Collectorate,
Chengalpattu District.

3.The Assistant Director,
Panchayat Raj,
Chengalpattu, Chengalpattu District.

4.The Block Development Officer (V.P),
Mdurantakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.

5.Gopala Kannan,
The Block Development Officer (V.P),

4/6



W.P No.22245 of 2025

Maduranthakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.

WEB COPY

6.Paranthaman
The Village Secretary (In-Charge),
Vaiyavur Village Panchayat,
Madurantakam Panchayat Union,
Chengalpattu District.

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ssi



WEB COPY



W.P No.22245 of 2025

W.P.No.22245 of 2025

23.06.2025