



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.06.2025

Coram

The Honourable **Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy**

W.P.No.21464 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.24246 & 24247 of 2025

M/s.Quibus Resources India Private Limited
Rep. by its Authorized Signatory R.Dinesh Kumar
DP-110 F-19, 2nd Phase Ambattur Industrial Estate
Ambattur, Chennai.
Tamil Nadu-600 058.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Ambattur Industrial Estate Assessment Circle
Integrated Commercial Taxes Building
3rd Floor, Nandanam
Chennai- 600 035.

...Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records of the respondent in GSTIN.33AAACQ1090R1Z6/2020-21 (Form DRC-07 Ref.No.ZD3301250987076) and quash the order dt.11.01.2025 passed therein.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

For Petitioner : Ms.Hema Muralikrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.C.Harsha Raj
Special Government Pleader (Taxes)

Order

Mr.C.Harsha Raj, learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent. With consent, the main Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. The challenge in this Writ Petition is to the order dated 11.01.2025 passed by the respondent for the AY 2020-21 and to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent has issued a show cause notice on 13.02.2024 and the same was uploaded in the GST Portal, without serving physical copy to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner was not aware of the show cause notice and hence failed to file reply to the show cause notice. Since the petitioner failed to file reply to the said show cause notice, the respondent has confirmed the




W.P.No.21464 of 2025

proposals contained in the show cause notice and passed the present impugned order. Therefore, the learned counsel would submit that the impugned order suffers from violation of principles of natural justice and is liable to be aside, as the petitioner has not been heard before passing the impugned order.

3.1. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, in the event, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order and remand the matter back to the Authority for fresh consideration.

4. The learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes) for the respondent fairly submitted that since the petitioner has voluntarily come forward to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, the prayer sought for by the petitioner may be considered.

5. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the




W.P.No.21464 of 2025

impugned show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab.

WEB COPY

According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them.

6. No doubt sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act.

7. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice. Hence, this Court is inclined to set-aside the impugned order with terms, by issuing the following directions:-

i) The impugned order passed by the respondent dated 11.01.2025 is set aside.

ii) Consequently, the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

iii) The petitioner is granted liberty to deposit 25% of the disputed tax, which the petitioner themselves had voluntarily come forward to make such payment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

iv) Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to file a reply along with supportive documents within a period of two weeks.

v) Thereupon, the respondent is directed to consider the reply and shall issue a clear 14 days notice affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and shall decide the matter in accordance with law.

8. With the above observations & directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

18.06.2025

arr

Index : yes/no

Neutral Citation : yes/no



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

WEB COPY

To
The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Ambattur Industrial Estate Assessment Circle
Integrated Commercial Taxes Building
3rd Floor, Nandanam
Chennai- 600 035.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21464 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,

arr

W.P.No.21464 of 2025

18.06.2025