



Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

Mohammad Irfan Shaikh

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
Coimbatore City,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.62 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail in
Crime No.62 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
23.05.2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 419, 420, 465, 468, 471 of
IPC and Section 66D of Information Technology Act in Crime No.62 of 2025 on
the file of the respondent police seeks bail.



Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused on the pretext of obtaining job had cheated the defacto complainant and others to the tune of Rs.64,91,113/- and had also given fake appointment order. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and further submitted that the petitioner has got nothing to do with the transaction and it the A1 who had received the entire amount. He further submitted that, petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner. He also submitted that investigation is at preliminary stage.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Though the petitioner projects that, it was A1 who had monetary transaction with the defacto complainant and he has nothing to do with the above crime is far fixed and only after the arrest of A1, the veracity can be found and now A1 is absconding and in the said circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

27.06.2025

sma

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Ambur,
Ambur - 635 802

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

sma

Crl.O.P.No.17415 of 2025

27.06.2025