



Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

M.Vijay Kantha

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chengam All Women Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.32 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.32 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sarathkumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 376 (2) (n) of I.P.C. and Section 5 (1), 5 (j) (ii), 6 (1) of Protection of Child from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and 9 of Child Marriage Act in Crime No.32 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police,



Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025


seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner and the minor victim girl was in love relationship and they got married. Thereafter, they had physical relationship and the victim girl got pregnant. When they went for medical check up, the medical examiner identified that the victim girl is minor and she is eight months pregnant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.17430 of 2025


WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the fact that the victim girl and the petitioner were in love relationship and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Sessions Judge, Special Court POCSO Act, Tiruvannamalai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial



Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

WEB COPY

Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;



CrI.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

WEB COPY

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.06.2025

ep

To

- 1.The Sessions Judge, Special Court for
Trial of cases, Under POCSO Act, Tiruvannamalai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Chengam All Women Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ep

Crl.O.P.No.17430 of 2025

24.06.2025