



CrI.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

CrI.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

G.K.Moorthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State rep. by
The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Sathyamangalam Sub-Division
Erode District

2. The Inspector of Police
Puliampatti Police Station
Erode District
Crime No.142 of 2025

3. Geetha

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct the learned Principal District Judge (Special Court for SC/ST Act Cases), Erode to consider the bail application of the petitioner on the same day on merits in the event of his surrender in connection with Crime No.142 of 2025 on the file of the second respondent.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.Syed Mazhar Hayath
For Respondents 1 and 2 : Dr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)


ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the learned Principal District Judge (Special Court for SC/ST Act Cases), Erode to consider the bail application of the petitioner on the same day on merits in the event of his surrender in connection with Crime No.142 of 2025 on the file of the second respondent.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the first respondent police and also perused the materials available on record.

3. Since no adverse order is being passed against the third respondent, notice to the third respondent is dispensed with.

4. It is to be noted that as per Section 18 of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (in short “SC/ST Act”), there is a bar to file a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C./483 of B.N.S.S. Therefore Anticipatory Bail is not maintainable for the offence under the SC/ST Act. Further Section 15A under Chapter




CrI.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

IV-A was introduced by Act 1 of 2016 w.e.f. 26.01.2016, as per which,

notice has to be sent to the victim, in respect of any proceedings and without giving notice, no proceedings shall be proceeded further. Further, the inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C./528 of B.N.S.S. should not be invoked automatically and the jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./528 of B.N.S.S. should be exercised sparingly. When there is a specific bar under the Special Act, this Court cannot ignore the intention of the Legislators and the purpose of enactment of the Special Act. If the petitioner's application is directed to be considered on the same day without giving notice to the victim, the purpose of Sections 18 and 15A(3)(5) of SC/ST Act would be defeated and the same would curtail the statutory right of the victim.

5. Under such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to invoke Section 482 Cr.P.C./528 of B.N.S.S., which would amount to ignoring the provisions of the Special Act. Therefore, this petition shall stand dismissed.

6. However, the petitioner is at liberty to workout his remedy before the Special Court in the manner known to law and the learned Special Judge/Magistrate is directed to exercise his/her discretionary



CrI.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

power after giving notice to the victim. The learned Special

Judge/Magistrate is also directed to adhere the statutory provisions of

Sections 18 and 15 A (3)(5) of SC/ST Act.


17.06.2025

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No

Speaking Order : Yes/No

ksa-2



CrI.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Principal District Judge
(Special Court for SC/ST Act Cases), Erode
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Sathyamangalam Sub-Division
Erode District
3. The Inspector of Police
Puliampatti Police Station
Erode District
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J

ksa-2

Crl.O.P.No.17429 of 2025

17.06.2025