



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 17-06-2025**

WEB COPY

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**WP No. 21219 of 2025**

**AND**

**WMP NO. 23969 & 23972 OF 2025**

1. P.Saravanan

Senior Superintendent (SS SG (FS))  
Emp No.10013925, Chennai Airport,  
Res.At New No.52, Old No.66,  
Subramania Mudali Street, Saidapet,  
Chennai-600 015

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Regional Executive Director  
Airports Authority Of India,  
Southern Region, Meenambakkam,  
Chennai-600 016.

2.The Asst.General Manager(HR)  
Southern Region, Department Of  
Human Resource Management,  
Airports Authority Of India,  
Chennai-600 016.

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER**

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to



the transfer order in AAI/SR/2, 2(7)EA/R and P dated 3.6.2025 passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, quash the same in so far as the petitioner alone is concerned (under Sl.No.12, Column C thereof) and consequently direct the respondents herein to retain the petitioner at Chennai Airport.

For Petitioner(s): M/s. J.Pothiraj

For Respondent(s): Mr.Ramasamy Meiyyappan For  
Mr.Venkatesan For Respondents

### **ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed seeking to call for the records relating to the transfer order in AAI/SR/2, 2(7)EA/R and P dated 03.6.2025 passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent quash the same in so far as the petitioner alone is concerned (under Sl.No.12, Column C thereof)and consequently direct the respondents herein to retain the petitioner at Chennai Airport.

2. Heard Mr.J.Pothiraj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Ramasamy Meiyyappan, learned counsel for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is proposed to be transferred from Chennai Airport in contravention to the transfer policy dated 18.07.2022. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that if any dependent of the employee has got Benchmark disability, then according to the transfer policy, he may be exempted from the routine exercise and that such policy has not been adopted in the case of the petitioner. However, it is apparent that he has also given a representation dated 06.06.2025.



4. When the representation of the petitioner's representation is yet to be considered by the respondents, it is too premature for us to go into the disputed facts.

5. In such view of the matter, this Court, without going into the merits of the matter, deems it appropriate to direct the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 06.06.2025 and pass orders on it's own merits and in accordance with law, within a period of three(3) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. In the result, this writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected WMPs are also closed.

**17-06-2025**

*kmi*

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Regional Executive Director  
Airports Authority Of India, Southern  
Region, Meenambakkam,  
Chennai-600 016.

2. The Asst. General Manager(HR)  
Southern Region,  
Department Of Human Resource  
Management, Airports Authority Of  
India, Chennai-600 016



WEB COPY

WP No. 21219 of 2025



**C.KUMARAPPAN J.**

*kmi*

WP No. 21219 of 2025  
AND WMP NOS. 23969  
& 23972 OF 2025

17-06-2025