



Arb. Appln. No.371 of 2024

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

Arb. Appln. No.371 of 2024

Cholamandalam Investment and
Finance Co. Ltd.
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory

Applicant

Vs


Raosaheb Khandu Gite

Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. D Pradeep Kumar

Despite several adjournments granted to enable the Advocate Commissioner to execute the Warrant of Commission, the Advocate Commissioner could not repossess the vehicle, since the vehicle could not be located. Till date, the vehicle has not been repossessed by the Advocate Commissioner appointed by this Court.

2. No useful would be served, if this application is allowed to be kept pending when the vehicle is not traceable. Accordingly, this application is closed. However, liberty is granted to the applicant to file a fresh application seeking for the very same relief once the vehicle is



Arb. Appln. No.371 of 2024

located. While considering the fresh application, this Court will consider appointing the very same Advocate Commissioner, who was appointed earlier by this Court in this application. This Court shall also consider adjusting the initial remuneration paid to the Advocate Commissioner in this application, while considering the payment of remuneration to the Advocate Commissioner, when this Court decides the fresh application.

05.03.2025

vsi2

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.



WEB COPY



Arb. Appln. No.371 of 2024

vsi2

Arb. Appln. No.371 of 2024

05.03.2025