



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17000 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 17000 of 2025

1. Ajith @ Ajithkumar
2. Siva @ Sivakumar
3. VIGNESH
4. MAHENDIRAN
5. LAKSHMI

Petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 4 & 6

Vs

State by, The Inspector of Police,
Pallikonda Police Station, Vellore
District. Crime No. 102 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime. No. 102 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police and thus render justice.

For Petitioners: Mr.Thirumoorthy D

For Respondent: Mr.R.Vinotharaj Govt Advocate
(crl Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The Petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), & 351(3) of BNS 2023 (294, 323 & 506(2) of IPC) and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.102 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant during a temple festival escalated into abuse and assault with the petitioners allegedly using filthy language and causing injuries to the defacto complainant, leading to the filing of the complaint and the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons, and due to previous enmity, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that on the complaint given by the petitioners side, a counter case has been registered against the



defacto complainant's side and thereby, he would seek for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of dispute; that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the



WEB COPY

event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **Judicial Magistrate-Mahila,**

Vellore, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness



either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* reported in [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

17-06-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CRL OP No. 17000 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The State by, The Inspector of Police,
Pallikonda Police Station, Vellore
District. Crime No. 102 of 2025.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate-Mahila,
Vellore.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17000 of 2025



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 17000 of
2025**

17-06-2025