



Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

K.Manivel

.. Petitioner/A1

Vs.

The State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kaveripattinam Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.122 of 2023)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.122 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Balachandran

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 427, 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.122 of 2023, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the de facto complainant are brothers and have a property dispute. The petitioner induced their father and mother to execute a partition deed, but instead, he executed a sale deed. Therefore, the de facto complainant filed a complaint for land grabbing against him. Due to which, the petitioner and other accused trespassed to the de facto complainant's house, abused him with filthy language, and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent, has not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that it is a case of case in counter. The petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays



Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Krishnagiri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which,



WEB COPY

the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for further interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

WEB COPY

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

31.07.2025

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Krishnagiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kaveripattinam Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.18960 of 2025

31.07.2025