



Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

1.Vasudevan

2.Geetha

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Avadi Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Cr.No.131 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.131 of 2025 pending on the file of the Inspector of Police, Avadi Police Station, Tiruvallur District.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Sudharsan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.131 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant, Saraswathi, is a widow who lost her husband in a road accident and received an insurance compensation amount of Rs.16,00,000/-. The petitioners, a husband and wife, who are known to Saraswathi, promised her that the amount could be invested in landed property to generate good returns. Based on this promise, Saraswathi paid Rs.14,50,000/- to the petitioners through a bank. However, the petitioners neither purchased any property for Saraswathi nor returned the amount. Instead, they claim to have paid the amount to one Rajendiran and lodged a complaint in CSR.No.765 of 2023, which has not resulted in any action.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent, have not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution and have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays



Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and reiterated the prosecution case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is observed that Saraswathi paid a sum of Rs.14,50,000/- to the petitioners to purchase a property, is well-documented through bank records. In contrast, there is no documentary evidence to support the claim of the petitioners that the amount was paid to Rajendiran. Hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

29.07.2025

cda



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Poonamallee,
Tiruvallur District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Avadi Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.

Crl.O.P.No.17098 of 2025

29.07.2025