



A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Ms. JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

A.No.2667/2025:-

K.Sooriya Prakash

...Applicant

Vs.

R.Sujatha Ramesh Pawar

... Respondent

Prayer : This application has been filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules read with Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, praying to re-open the evidence of plaintiff in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024 to adduce further evidence.

For applicant : Mr.S.Makesh

For Respondent : Mr.K.B.Gopi

A.No.2668/2025:-

K.Sooriya Prakash

...Applicant

Vs.

R.Sujatha Ramesh Pawar

... Respondent

Prayer : This application has been filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules read with Order VII Rule 14 and Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, praying to file photo of him as additional document uploaded on Google photos on 04.08.2010 also receive the same.

For applicant : Mr.S.Makesh



A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

WEB COPY

For Respondent : Mr.K.B.Gopi

A.No.2669/2025:-

K.Sooriya Prakash

...Applicant

Vs.

R.Sujatha Ramesh Pawar

... Respondent

Prayer : This application has been filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of the Original Side Rules read with Order XVIII Rule 17 of Code of Civil Procedure, praying to recall the witness PW1 in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024 to adduce further evidence.

For applicant : Mr.S.Makesh

For Respondent : Mr.K.B.Gopi

These petitions have been filed by the plaintiffs to recall the PW1, and to receive the additional document and also to reopen the evidence of plaintiff for adducing the further evidence.

2. The applicant /plaintiff has submitted a group family photo taken during the birthday of his mother, deceased 1st defendant in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024 uploaded in the Google photos on 04.08.2010, as he has not filed the group family photo taken at the time of filing of the Original Petition inadvertently.



A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the defendant submitted that the relationship between the parties have not been denied. In such case, filing the group family photograph is not going to serve any purpose to decide the issue involved in the T.O.S. Even in the affidavit of the plaintiff, he has not stated the reason for producing the family photograph and now it would help him in any way for the claim made before the Court in the T.O.S. filed by him.

4. As this Court finds that these applications have been filed just to dilate the proceedings without any merits, these petitions are dismissed.
No costs.

02.09.2025

Maya



WEB COPY



A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

R.N.MANJULA, J.

Maya

A. Nos. 2667 to 2669 of 2025
in
T.O.S. No. 68 of 2024

Dated : 02.09.2025