



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **13.06.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

1.Vijayakumar
2.Alexpandiyan

... Petitioners/Accused 2 & 5

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Mayiladuthurai
Mayiladuthurai District
(Crime No.186 of 2025).

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying to enlarge the petitioners on Bail in
the event of their arrest in Crime No.186 of 2025, pending investigation, on
the file of the respondent.



Crl.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

WEB COPY

For petitioners : M/s.Roja C

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) & 351 (3) & 303 (2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.188 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners and two others attacked and verbally abused the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.



Crl.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and the petitioners they moved anticipatory bail application in Crl.M.P.No.689 of 2025 before the District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai, and the same was dismissed on 25.05.2025. Therefore, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. The petitioners and two others attacked and verbally abused the defacto complainant. Therefore, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Mayiladuthurai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for further interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

13.06.2025

dna



CrI.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

WEB COPY

To:

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Mayiladuthurai.

2.The Inspector of Police
Mayiladuthurai
Mayiladuthurai District
(Crime No.186 of 2025).

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

dna

Crl.O.P.No.16780 of 2025

13.06.2025