



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

1. Chandru @ S. Chandrababu
2. Kuppan

... Petitioners/ Accused 1 & 2

Vs.

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Adhamangalam Pudhur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.42 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.42 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. Viswanathan SB

For Respondent : Mr. R. Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of BNS Act, 2023 read with Section 21(1) Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.42 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that while the respondent police were on their routine patrol duty near Kootrampalli village, they found that the first petitioner herein was involved in illegal transportation of 1 unit of river sand in the second petitioner's tractor bearing Registration No.TN-25-CC-8154. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case and they had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; that the second petitioner is the owner and the first petitioner is the driver of the said tractor. He further submitted that the petitioners, without prejudice to



their rights, are ready to deposit a total sum of Rs.25,000/- (second petitioner – Rs.15,000/- and the first petitioner – Rs.10,000/-) to any welfare scheme of the Government or any other organization; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioners are driver and owner of the vehicle involved in the offence; that the petitioners have no bad antecedents; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioners have no bad antecedents, the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners regarding depositing a total sum of Rs.25,000/- to any welfare




organization and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Polur, Thiruvannamalai District** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stands automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

proofs to ensure their identity;

WEB COPY

[c] The second petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/-(Rupees Fifteen Thousands only) (Non refundable) and the first petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands only) (Non refundable) towards the account of SRI RAMACHANDRA INSTITUTE OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, Current Account maintained at Indian Bank, SRU Branch, Porur, Chennai-600 116, bearing Current Account No.471533180, IFSC Code No.IDIB000S180 and to produce the Bank Challan before the Judicial Magistrate, Polur, Thiruvannamalai District and the receipt shall be produced at the time of executing the bond;

[d] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

WEB COPY

[g] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, they shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;


[h] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[i] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[j] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19.06.2025

stn



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Polur, Thiruvannamalai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Adhamangalam Pudhur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.42 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

M. NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.16859 of 2025

19.06.2025